

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/625,549	07/24/2003	Francois Le Maner	Q71800	8208		
23373 7	590 02/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE N	•	KEASEL, ERIC S				
SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037	3754				

DATE MAILED: 02/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1			
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/625,549	9	MANER, FRANCOIS LE			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Eric Kease		3754			
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication 	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	!SS		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat p period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no even ion. s, a reply within the statut period will apply and will apply and will y statute, cause the applic	ot, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	24 July 2003.	•				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□							
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the Country The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepted to the drawing(s) be correction is required	held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been iments have been e priority documer Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicatints have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Sta	age		
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/24/2003</u> .	48) SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-15	52)		

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the legal phraseology "comprising". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the broad recitation "connected", and the claim also recites "preferably integrally" which is the narrower statement of the broader recitation. A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite when one of ordinary skill in the art (when reading the claims in light of the specification) would not be apprised of the metes and bounds of the patent

protection desired. In the present instance, the specification sheds no light on whether the intended scope of the claim includes the broader or narrower limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arnold et al. (US Patent Number 5,947,340).

Arnold et al. disclose a manually actuated fluid dispenser pump comprising a pump body (120, 220, 320), a piston (130, 230, 330) mounted to slide in leaktight manner in said pump body between a rest position and an actuating position, an actuating rod (126, 226, 326) connected integrally to said piston, and a ferrule (156, 256, 356) fixed to the top edge of the pump body, to define the rest position for said piston, said actuating rod being mounted to slide in said ferrule, said pump being characterized in that the ferrule is provided with at least one internal sealing lip (inner part of 156, 164, or the inner part of 356 with 364) co-operating in leaktight manner with said actuating rod; said at least one sealing lip extends over the entire periphery of said ferrule; said at least one sealing lip is made integrally with said ferrule; said at least one sealing lip is flexible so that leaktightness is guaranteed between itself and said actuating rod, even when the actuating force exerted on the actuating rod is not exactly axial; said sealing lip of the ferrule centers and/or guides the actuating rod in said ferrule and/or said pump body; said ferrule is

Application/Control Number: 10/625,549 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

made integrally with a fixing ring organized to fix said pump to a fluid reservoir; said ferrule is made of a single material (in the embodiments of Figs. 3-5); and said ferrule is made of a plurality of materials (in the embodiment of Fig. 6).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Jouillat et al. disclose a similar dispenser pump.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Keasel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4929. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eric Keasel

in Trasel 4 FEB 2005

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754